## 10346: Continuum Physics, spring 2002

Homework assignment 3:

Instabilities and shapes of falling fluid columns.

A narrow column of fluid with surface tension  $\alpha$ , density  $\rho$  and viscosity  $\nu$  moving rapidly downward (without rotation) in a narrow column of circular cross section with radius h(z), is well-described by a rotationally symmetric velocity field of the form

$$w(r, z, t) = w_0(z, t) + w_2(z, t)r^2 + \dots$$
 (1)

$$u(r, z, t) = u_1(z, t)r + u_3(z, t)r^3 + \dots$$
 (2)

$$p(r, z, t) = p_0(z, t) + p_2(z, t)r^2 + \dots$$
 (3)

The components of the velocity field are  $w = v_z$  and  $u = v_r$  in cylindrical coordinates and p is the pressure.

- 1. Use the continuity equation to express  $u_1, u_3, ...$  in terms of  $w_0, w_2...$
- 2. Write down the boundary conditions at the free surface r = h(z, t) expressed in terms of the velocity field (without expanding in r) and the derivatives of h. There are two dynamical boundary conditions and one kinematic boundary condition.
- **3.** Insert the expansion (1)-(3) into the full Navier-Stokes equations including gravity and retain only the lowest order term in r. Then use the dynamic boundary conditions derived above and expand to lowest order in h and its derivatives to eliminate  $w_2$  and  $p_0$ . Show that one gets

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = g - \frac{\alpha}{\rho} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial z} + 3\nu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} + \frac{6\nu}{h} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right) \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\right)$$
(4)

$$\frac{\partial h^2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v h^2}{\partial z} = 0 ag{5}$$

where  $v(z,t) = w_0(z,t)$ . The curvature  $\kappa$  of the surface r = h(z) is

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{h(1 + (\frac{\partial h}{\partial z})^2)^{1/2}} - \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2} \frac{1}{(1 + (\frac{\partial h}{\partial z})^2)^{3/2}} \approx \frac{1}{h} - \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2}$$
 (6)

Describe the physical content of equation (5) and show that it follows from the kinematic boundary condition.

- **4.** Show that there is a stationary solution with  $v = v_0 = \text{const.}$ , and  $h = h_0 = \text{const.}$ , when there is no gravity (g = 0). Demonstrate the *Rayleigh-Plateau instability*, i.e. that this stationary solution is linearly unstable.
- **a.** Assume that  $v(z,t) = v_0(1 + a(z,t))$  and  $h(z,t) = h_0(1 + b(z,t))$  (with a and b small) and linearize (4)-(5) (in a and b).

**b.** Solve the resulting linear system by Fourier transformation, i. e. by letting

$$(a,b) = (a_0, b_0)e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$
(7)

giving the dispersion relation for the complex function  $\omega = \omega(k)$ . What is the condition on  $\omega$  for stability/instability? Are there any stable wave numbers (k)? What is the maximally unstable wavenumber when  $\nu = 0$ .

c. Compare the result with the exact result (from the full Navier-Stokes equation) for an inviscid fluid with  $v_0 = 0$ :

$$\omega^2 = -\frac{\alpha x I_1(x)}{\rho h_0^3 I_0(x)} (1 - x^2) \tag{8}$$

where  $x = kh_0$  and  $I_0$  and  $I_1$  are modified Bessel functions. What difference does it make that the fluid moves with velocity  $v_0 \neq 0$ ?

- **d.** Can you check your prediction experimentally?
- **5.** Use (4)-(5) to find a stationary solution for a thin fluid thread falling in a gravitational field. Neglect for simplicity the last term in (6), i.e., take  $\kappa = 1/h$ , which is a good approximation for a thin thread.
- **a.** Show that it has the form  $v(z) \sim z^{\gamma}$  for  $z \to \infty$  and determine  $\gamma$ . You must
  - 1. Determine the terms that give the asymptotics.
  - 2. Check that the other terms are subdominant.

Does  $\gamma$  depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\nu$  or q? What is the corresponding form of h(z).

- **b.** Find the solution numerically. Show that  $v'/\sqrt{v}$  must approach a constant when  $v \to 0$  and determine the constant. What happens when you start your integration with small v' and v?
- **6.** Only for fun: this question does not count in the evaluation. It turns out that the stationary solution is weakly unstable in the sens that a small perturbation near the top (say z = 0) will grow as

$$\delta h(z) \sim \delta h(0)e^{z^{1/8}} \tag{9}$$

can you give an argument for this? Check for yourself that you can get very long threads of, say sirup.

Due Tuesday, May 24 — Have fun!